Aalto University

Distributed Algorithms 2020

10 Sinkless orientation

This week's plan

- **Topic:** complexity of ***sinkless orientation***
  - task: high-degree nodes must have outdegree ≥ 1
  - possible in $O(\log n)$ rounds, not in $o(\log n)$ rounds
- **Video:** ***why*** do we care about this?
  - e.g. hardness of graph coloring
- **Today:** how to ***prove*** it?
  - round elimination & fixed points

Sinkless orientation

- **Labels:** { O, I }
  - O = *"edge oriented away from the active node"*
    I = *"edge oriented towards the active node"*
- **Active:** [O, ?, ?]
  - *"at least one outgoing edge"*
- **Passive:** [I, ?, ?]
  - *"at least one outgoing edge"*


active:
[O, ?, ?]
passive:
[I, ?, ?]

Sinkless orientation: O, I

- active: [O, ?, ?]
- passive: [I, ?, ?]

Output problem: {O}, {I}, {O,I}

- active: [{I}, ?, ?]
- passive: [{O}, ?, ?] or [{O,I}, ?, ?]

Maximal problem: {I}, {O,I}

- active: [{I}, {O,I}, {O,I}]
- passive: [{O,I}, ?, ?]

Sinkless orientation: O, I

- active: [O, ?, ?]
- passive: [I, ?, ?]

Output problem: {O}, {I}, {O,I}

- active: [{I}, ?, ?]
- passive: [{O}, ?, ?] or [{O,I}, ?, ?]

Maximal problem: A, B

- active: [A, B, B]
- passive: [B, ?, ?]

Output problem

- **Labels:** { A, B }
  - A = *"edge oriented away from the active node"*
  - B = *"edge oriented towards the active node"*
- **Active:** [A, B, B]
  - *"**exactly** one outgoing edge"*
- **Passive:** [B, ?, ?]
  - *"at least one outgoing edge"*


active:
[A, B, B]
passive:
[B, ?, ?]

Starting point: A, B

- active: [A, B, B]
- passive: [B, ?, ?]

Output problem: {A}, {B}, {A,B}

- active: [{B}, ?, ?]
- passive: …

Maximal problem: {B}, {A,B}

- active: [{B}, {A,B}, {A,B}]
- passive: [{A,B}, ?, ?]

Starting point: A, B

- active: [A, B, B]
- passive: [B, ?, ?]

Output problem: {A}, {B}, {A,B}

- active: [{B}, ?, ?]
- passive: ...

Maximal problem: **A**, **B**

- active: [**A**, **B**, **B**]
- passive: [**B**, ?, ?]

Fixed points

- $\boldsymbol{X}$ **= re(**$\boldsymbol{X}$**)**, and X is not 0-round solvable
- "X can be solved 1 round faster than X"
  - contradiction
- One of our assumptions fails — which one?



Fixed points

- ***X* = re(*X*)**, and X is not 0-round solvable
- ***X* cannot be solved in *o*(log *n*) rounds** in the deterministic PN model
- We can also derive hardness results for deterministic and randomized LOCAL model

Often used like this

- We are interested in problem X
- Find a suitable ***relaxation*** Y of X
  - problem Y is at most as hard as X
  - problem Y is nontrivial
- Show that $Y = \mathrm{re}(Y)$ or $Y = \mathrm{re}(\mathrm{re}(Y))$
  - Y cannot be solved fast
  - X cannot be solved fast

Sinkless and sourceless

- **Labels:** { O, I }
  - O = *"edge oriented away from the active node"*
    I = *"edge oriented towards the active node"*
- **Active:** [O, I, ?]
  - *"at least one outgoing and one incoming edge"*
- **Passive:** [I, O, ?]
  - *"at least one outgoing and one incoming edge"*