



DDA 2010, lecture 7: Local news

- Some recent work in our research group
 - algorithm for vertex covers
 - application of Cole-Vishkin technique in port-numbering model




Research problem

- Goal: finding a 2-approximation of **minimum vertex cover**
 - fast: time independent of n
 - port-numbering model
- From lecture 4:
 - even if we had unique identifiers, it's not possible to find $(2 - \epsilon)$ -approximation in constant time
 - hence approximation factor 2 is the best possible




DDA 2010, lecture 7a: Vertex covers and edge packings



Vertex cover in the port-numbering model

- Convenient to study a more general problem:
minimum-weight vertex cover
 - **More general problems
are sometimes
easier to solve?**




Notation:

$w(v)$ = weight of v


Edge packings and vertex covers

- **Edge packing:** weight $y(e) \geq 0$ for each edge e
 - Packing constraint: $y[v] \leq w(v)$ for each node v , where $y[v] =$ total weight of edges incident to v




Edge packings and vertex covers

- **Edge packing:** weight $y(e) \geq 0$ for each edge e
 - Packing constraint: $y[v] \leq w(v)$ for each node v , where $y[v]$ = total weight of edges incident to v



Edge packings and vertex covers


- **Edge packing:** weight $y(e) \geq 0$ for each edge e
 - Packing constraint: $y[v] \leq w(v)$ for each node v , where $y[v]$ = total weight of edges incident to v



$$y[v] = 3 + 0 + 4 + 0 + 0 + 2$$
$$w(v) = 9$$


Edge packings and vertex covers

- Node v is **saturated** if $y[v] = w(v)$
 - Total weight of edges incident to v is *equal* to $w(v)$, i.e., the packing constraint holds with equality




Edge packings and vertex covers

- Edge e is **saturated** if at least one endpoint of e is saturated
 - Equivalently: edge weight $y(e)$ can't be increased



Edge packings and vertex covers

- **Maximal edge packing:** all edges saturated
 - \Leftrightarrow none of the edge weights $y(e)$ can be increased
 - \Leftrightarrow saturated nodes form a vertex cover!



Edge packings and vertex covers

- Minimum-weight vertex cover C^* difficult to find:
 - Centralised setting: NP-hard
 - Distributed setting: integer problem (choose 0 or 1), symmetry-breaking issues
- Maximal edge packing y easy to find:
 - Centralised setting: trivial greedy algorithm
 - Distributed setting: linear problem, no symmetry-breaking issues (?)

Edge packings and vertex covers

- Minimum-weight vertex cover C^* difficult to find
- Maximal edge packing y easy to find?
- Saturated nodes $C(y)$ in y : **2-approximation** of C^*
 - Textbook proof: LP-duality, relaxed complementary slackness
 - Minimum-weight fractional vertex cover and maximum-weight edge packing are *dual problems*
 - But there's a simple and more elementary proof...

Edge packings and vertex covers

$\sum_{v \in C(y)} w(v)$	Total weight of saturated nodes
$= \sum_{v \in C(y)} y[v]$	Saturated nodes have $y[v] = w(v)$
$= \sum_{e \in E} y(e) e \cap C(y) $	Interchange the order of summation
$\leq 2 \sum_{e \in E} y(e) e \cap C^* $	Each edge is covered at least once by C^* and at most twice by $C(y)$
$= 2 \sum_{v \in C^*} y[v]$	Interchange the order of summation
$\leq 2 \sum_{v \in C^*} w(v)$	All nodes have $y[v] \leq w(v)$


Edge packings and vertex covers

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{v \in C(y)} w(v) && \sum_{v \in C(y)} \sum_{e \in E: v \in e} y(e) && \text{covered nodes} \\
 = & \sum_{v \in C(y)} y[v] && \sum_{e \in E} \sum_{v \in C(y): v \in e} y(e) && y[v] = w(v) \\
 = & \sum_{e \in E} y(e) |e \cap C(y)| && \text{Interchange the order of summation} \\
 \leq & 2 \sum_{e \in E} y(e) |e \cap C^*| && \text{Each edge is covered at least } \mathbf{once} \\
 & && \text{by } C^* \text{ and at most } \mathbf{twice} \text{ by } C(y) \\
 = & 2 \sum_{v \in C^*} y[v] && \text{Interchange the order of summation} \\
 \leq & 2 \sum_{v \in C^*} w(v) && \text{All nodes have } y[v] \leq w(v)
 \end{aligned}$$

Summary


- Goal:
 - Find a 2-approximation of minimum-weight **vertex cover**
 - Deterministic algorithm in the **port-numbering** model
- Idea:
 - Find a **maximal edge packing**, take saturated nodes
- Coming up next:
 - Begin with a “greedy but safe” algorithm
 - We will see later how the Cole-Vishkin technique helps

DDA 2010, lecture 7b: Finding a maximal edge packing




Finding a maximal edge packing: phase I

- $y[v]$ = total weight of edges incident to node v
- **Residual capacity** of node v : $r(v) = w(v) - y[v]$
- Saturated node:
 $r(v) = 0$




Finding a maximal edge packing: phase I

Start with a trivial
edge packing $y(e) = 0$



Finding a maximal edge packing: phase I

Each node v offers $r(v)/\text{deg}(v)$ units to each incident edge




Finding a maximal edge packing: basic idea

Each edge **accepts**
the smallest of the
2 offers it received


Increase $y(e)$
by this amount

- Safe, can't violate packing constraints




Finding a maximal edge packing: phase I

Update **residuals**...



Finding a maximal edge packing: phase I


Update residuals,
discard saturated
nodes and edges...



Finding a maximal edge packing: phase I

Update residuals,
discard saturated
nodes and edges,
repeat...

Offers...




Finding a maximal edge packing: phase I

Update residuals,
discard saturated
nodes and edges,
repeat...

Offers...

**Increase
weights...**




Finding a maximal edge packing: phase I

Update residuals,
discard saturated
nodes and edges,
repeat...

Offers...

Increase
weights...

Update residuals...




Finding a maximal edge packing: phase I

Update residuals,
discard saturated
nodes and edges,
repeat...

Offers...

Increase
weights...


Update residuals
and graph, etc.



Finding a maximal edge packing: phase I

This is a simple
deterministic
distributed
algorithm

We are making
some progress
towards finding
a maximal edge
packing – but...



Finding a maximal edge packing: phase I


This is a simple deterministic distributed algorithm

We are making some progress towards finding a maximal edge packing – but this is **too slow!**



Finding a maximal edge packing: colouring trick


- Offer is a local minimum:
 - Node will be **saturated**
 - And all edges incident to it will be saturated as well



Residual capacity
was 8, will be 0

Finding a maximal edge packing: colouring trick


- Offer is a local minimum:
 - Node will be **saturated**
- Otherwise there is a neighbour with a different offer:
 - Interpret the offer sequences as “colours”
 - Nodes u and v have different colours:
 $\{u, v\}$ is **multicoloured**



Finding a maximal edge packing: colouring trick


- Some progress guaranteed:
 - On each iteration, for each node, at least one incident edge becomes **saturated** or **multicoloured**
 - Such edges are be discarded in phase I: node degrees decrease by at least one on each iteration
 - Hence in Δ iterations all edges are saturated or multicoloured

Δ = maximum degree




Finding a maximal edge packing: colouring trick

- Phase I: in Δ rounds all edges are **saturated** or **multicoloured**
 - Saturated edges are good – we're trying to construct a maximal edge packing
 - Why are the multicoloured edges useful?




Finding a maximal edge packing: colouring trick

- Phase I: in Δ rounds all edges are **saturated** or **multicoloured**
 - Saturated edges are good – we're trying to construct a maximal edge packing
 - Why are the multicoloured edges useful?
 - Let's focus on unsaturated nodes and edges



Finding a maximal edge packing: colouring trick

- Colours are sequences of Δ offers, which are rational numbers
- Assume that node weights are integers $1, 2, \dots, W$
- Let's analyse the offers more carefully in that case...



$(2, 2/3, 1/6, 1/12)$

$(2, 2/3, 1/6, 1/24)$

Finding a maximal edge packing: colouring trick


- Offers are rationals of the form $q/(\Delta!)^\Delta$
 - Proof idea: multiply weights by $(\Delta!)^\Delta$
 - Then $r(v)$ is a multiple of $(\Delta!)^\Delta$ before iteration 1
 - Offer $r(v)/\deg(v)$ is a multiple of $(\Delta!)^{\Delta-1}$ on iteration 1
 - $r(v)$ is a multiple of $(\Delta!)^{\Delta-1}$ after iteration 1
 - ... (more formally: proof by induction)
 - $r(v)$ is a multiple of $\Delta!$ before iteration Δ
 - Offers are integers on iteration Δ

Finding a maximal edge packing: colouring trick

- Offers are rationals of the form $q/(\Delta!)^\Delta$
 - Proof idea: if we multiplied weights by $(\Delta!)^\Delta$, then the offers would be integers throughout the algorithm
 - Without scaling, we get in the worst case $q/(\Delta!)^\Delta$
- If node weights are integers $1, 2, \dots, W$, then offers are rationals between 0 and W
 - Offer of v is at most $r(v) \leq w(v) \leq W$
- There are at most $W(\Delta!)^\Delta$ possible offers!

Finding a maximal edge packing: colouring trick

- Colours are sequences of Δ offers, which are rational numbers
- Assume that node weights are integers $1, 2, \dots, W$
- Then there are at most $W(\Delta!)^\Delta$ possible offers
- And hence only $k = (W(\Delta!)^\Delta)^\Delta$ possible colours



$(2, 2/3, 1/6, 1/12)$

$(2, 2/3, 1/6, 1/24)$

Finding a maximal edge packing: colouring trick


- Only $k = (W(\Delta!)^\Delta)^\Delta$ possible colours
- Replace “inconvenient” colours (sequences of rationals) with “convenient” colours (integers 1, 2, ..., k)

1378

~~(2, 2/3, 1/6, 1/12)~~


2789

~~(2, 2/3, 1/6, 1/24)~~




Finding a maximal edge packing: phase II

- We have a proper k -colouring of the unsaturated subgraph
- Orient from lower to higher colour (acyclic directed graph)




Finding a maximal edge packing: phase II

- We have a proper k -colouring of the unsaturated subgraph
- Orient from lower to higher colour (acyclic directed graph)
- Partition in Δ forests
 - Each node assigns its outgoing edges to different forests




Finding a maximal edge packing: phase II

- For each forest in parallel...




Finding a maximal edge packing: phase II

- For each forest in parallel:
 - Use Cole-Vishkin style colour reduction algorithm
 - Given a k -colouring, finds a **3-colouring** in time $O(\log^* k)$




Finding a maximal edge packing: phase II

- For each forest and each colour $j = 1, 2, 3$ in sequence:
 - Consider all outgoing edges of colour- j nodes




Finding a maximal edge packing: phase II

- For each forest and each colour $j = 1, 2, 3$ in sequence:
 - Consider all outgoing edges of colour- j nodes
 - Node-disjoint stars: easy to saturate all such edges in parallel
 - Two simple cases:
 - saturate centre
 - saturate all leaves




Finding a maximal edge packing: phase II

- This way we can saturate all multicoloured edges:
 - Each edge belongs to one forest, and its tail has colour 1, 2, or 3
 - We simply go through all forests and all colours and therefore saturate everything




Finding a maximal edge packing: algorithm overview

- Phase I:
 - All edges become saturated or multicoloured
- Phase II:
 - Multicoloured edges are partitioned in Δ forests
 - Forests are 3-coloured
 - 3-coloured forests are saturated




Finding a maximal edge packing: running time analysis

- Total running time:
 - All edges become saturated or multicoloured: $O(\Delta)$
 - Multicoloured forests are 3-coloured: $O(\log^* k)$
 - 3-coloured forests are saturated: $O(\Delta)$
- $O(\Delta + \log^* k) = O(\Delta + \log^* W)$
 - k is huge, but \log^* grows slowly



Finding a maximal edge packing: summary


- Maximal edge packing and 2-approximation of vertex cover in time $O(\Delta + \log^* W)$
 - $W =$ maximum node weight
- Unweighted graphs: running time simply $O(\Delta)$, independent of n
- Everything can be implemented in the **port-numbering model**



Finding a maximal edge packing: recap

Phase I:


- **Residuals**
 $r(v) = w(v) - y[v]$
- **Offer** $r(v)/\text{deg}(v)$
- **Accept minimum**,
increase weights
- Progress: edges
become *saturated*
or *multicoloured*
(different offers)



Finding a maximal edge packing: recap

Phase II:

- Saturated edges are already ok, we focus on multicoloured edges
- Colours are sequences of offers, re-colour with integers $1, 2, \dots, k$
- Partition in Δ forests
- Cole-Vishkin:
3-colouring **1378**
- Use colours to saturate all edges



2789

~~(2, 2/3, 1/6, 1/24)~~

Finding a maximal edge packing: some intuition

- Regular graph with uniform weights:
 - Symmetry-breaking (e.g., graph colouring) is not possible in the port-numbering model
 - But it is trivial to find a maximal edge packing directly
- “Irregular” graph:
 - We have symmetry-breaking information, which can be used to find a graph colouring, which can be used to find a maximal edge packing
- Handling these two cases turns out to be enough!

Take-home messages

- Non-trivial problems can be solved in very restrictive models of distributed computing
- Generalise!
 - More difficult problems may be easier to solve: vertex cover \rightarrow weighted vertex cover \rightarrow weighted set cover...
- Cole-Vishkin technique is a powerful tool
 - Wide range of applications far beyond the textbook examples of colouring cycles with numerical IDs
 - \log^* of almost everything is something reasonable