Local algorithms and max-min linear programs

Patrik Floréen Marja Hassinen Joel Kaasinen Petteri Kaski Topi Musto Jukka Suomela



Hecse Autumn School · Porvoo · 20 October 2008

Local algorithm: output of a node is a function of input within its *constant-radius neighbourhood*



(Linial 1992; Naor and Stockmeyer 1995)

Local algorithm: changes outside the *local horizon* of a node do not affect its output



(Linial 1992; Naor and Stockmeyer 1995)

Local algorithms

Local algorithms are efficient:

- Space and time complexity is constant for each node
- Distributed constant time even in an infinite network

... and fault-tolerant:

- Recovers in constant time
- Topology change only affects a constant-size part of the network

(In this presentation, we assume bounded-degree graphs)

Local algorithms

Great, but do they exist? Fundamental hurdles:

- Breaking the symmetry: e.g., colouring a ring of identical nodes
- 2. Non-local problems:
 - e.g., constructing a spanning tree

Strong negative results are known:

- 3-colouring of *n*-cycle not possible, even if unique node identifiers are given (Linial 1992)
- No constant-factor approximation of vertex cover, dominating set, etc. (Kuhn 2005; Kuhn et al. 2004, 2006)

Local algorithms

Some previous positive results:

Weak colouring

(Naor and Stockmeyer 1995)

Dominating set

(Kuhn and Wattenhofer 2005; Lenzen et al. 2008)

Packing and covering LPs

(Papadimitriou and Yannakakis 1993; Kuhn et al. 2006)

Present work:

Max-min LPs

(Floréen et al. 2008a,b,c)

Max-min linear program

Let
$$A \ge 0$$
, $\mathbf{c}_k \ge \mathbf{0}$

Objective:

$\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{maximise} & \min_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \mathbf{c}_k \cdot \mathbf{x} \\ \mbox{subject to} & A \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{1}, \\ & \mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0} \end{array}$

Generalisation of packing LP:

maximise **c** · **x**

subject to $A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{1}$,

Max-min linear program

Objective: maximise $\min_k \mathbf{c}_k \cdot \mathbf{x}$ subject to $A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$

Distributed setting:

- one node v ∈ V for each variable x_v, one node i ∈ I for each constraint a_i · x ≤ 1, one node k ∈ K for each objective c_k · x
- ▶ $v \in V$ and $i \in I$ adjacent if $a_{iv} > 0$, $v \in V$ and $k \in K$ adjacent if $c_{kv} > 0$

Key parameters:

• $\Delta_I = \max$. degree of $i \in I$

•
$$\Delta_{K}$$
 = max. degree of $k \in K$

Example

Task: Fair bandwidth allocation in a communication network

- circle = customer
- square = access point
- edge = network connection



Task: Allocate a fair share of bandwidth for each customer

maximise min { $x_1, x_2 + x_4, x_3 + x_5 + x_7, x_6 + x_8, x_9$ }



Task: Allocate a fair share of bandwidth for each customer; each <u>access point</u> has a limited capacity

maximise min {

$$x_1, x_2 + x_4, x_3 + x_5 + x_7, x_6 + x_8, x_9$$

}
subject to $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \le 1, x_4 + x_5 + x_6 \le 1, x_7 + x_8 + x_9 \le 1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_9 \ge 0$

_9 8

> _6 5

2

Example

Task: Allocate a fair share of bandwidth for each customer; each access point has a limited capacity

An optimal solution:

$$x_1 = x_5 = x_9 = 3/5,$$

 $x_2 = x_8 = 2/5,$
 $x_4 = x_6 = 1/5,$
 $x_3 = x_7 = 0$



"Safe algorithm":

Node v chooses

$$x_{v} = \min_{i:a_{iv}>0} \frac{1}{a_{iv} |\{u:a_{iu}>0\}|}$$

(Papadimitriou and Yannakakis 1993)

Factor Δ_l approximation

Uses information only in radius 1 neighbourhood of v

A better approximation ratio with a larger radius?

The safe algorithm is factor Δ_l approximation

Theorem

There is no local algorithm for max-min LPs with approximation ratio $\Delta_I (1 - 1/\Delta_K)$

Theorem

For any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a local algorithm for max-min LPs with approximation ratio $\Delta_l (1 - 1/\Delta_K) + \epsilon$

Degree of a constraint $i \in I$ is at most Δ_I Degree of an objective $k \in K$ is at most Δ_K

Inapproximability

Regular high-girth graph or regular tree?



Approximability

Preliminary step 1:

Unfold the graph into an infinite tree



Preliminary step 2:

Apply a sequence of local transformations (and unfold again)



Approximability



Alternating layers of "up" agents and "down" agents

- "up" nodes choose as small values as possible
- "down" nodes choose as large values as possible

But there is no local algorithm that chooses the roles in a globally consistent manner

Key idea: consider both roles, take averages

Summary

Max-min linear program: given $A, c_k \ge 0$,

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{maximise} & \min_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \mathbf{c}_k \cdot \mathbf{x} \\ \mbox{subject to} & A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{1}, \\ & \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} \end{array}$

Local algorithm: constant-time distributed algorithm

Main result: tight characterisation of local approximability

http://www.hiit.fi/ada/geru · jukka.suomela@cs.helsinki.fi

References (1)

- P. Floréen, P. Kaski, T. Musto, and J. Suomela (2008a). Approximating max-min linear programs with local algorithms. *IPDPS 2008*. [DOI]
- P. Floréen, M. Hassinen, P. Kaski, and J. Suomela (2008b). Tight local approximation results for max-min linear programs. *ALGOSENSORS 2008*.
- P. Floréen, J. Kaasinen, P. Kaski, and J. Suomela (2008c). An optimal local approximation algorithm for max-min linear programs. Manuscript, arXiv:0809.1489 [cs.DC].
- F. Kuhn (2005). *The Price of Locality: Exploring the Complexity of Distributed Coordination Primitives.* PhD thesis.
- F. Kuhn and R. Wattenhofer (2005). Constant-time distributed dominating set approximation. *Distributed Computing*, 17(4):303–310. [DOI]

References (2)

- F. Kuhn, T. Moscibroda, and R. Wattenhofer (2004). What cannot be computed locally! *PODC 2004*. [DOI]
- F. Kuhn, T. Moscibroda, and R. Wattenhofer (2006). The price of being near-sighted. SODA 2006. [DOI]
- C. Lenzen, Y. A. Oswald, and R. Wattenhofer (2008). What can be approximated locally? *SPAA 2008*.
- N. Linial (1992). Locality in distributed graph algorithms. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 21(1):193–201. [DOI]
- M. Naor and L. Stockmeyer (1995). What can be computed locally? *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 24(6):1259–1277. [DOI]
- C. H. Papadimitriou and M. Yannakakis (1993). Linear programming without the matrix. *STOC 1993*. [DOI]